



# Ethernet Time Transfer through a U.S. Commercial Optical Telecommunications Network ITSF 2015

Marc Weiss, <u>mweiss@nist.gov</u>, 303-497-3261 NIST Time and Frequency Division

Lee Cosart, <u>lee.cosart@microsemi.com</u>, 408-428-6950 Microsemi, Corp.

- Motivation
- Project plan
- Current results, October 2015
  - Transfer results using two transports
  - Check baseline then add traffic
  - Diagnostic efforts to determine cause of asymmetry
  - Long-term measurements
- Next steps





#### Motivation

- Need to back up critical infrastructure for time at microsecond (μs) or better
  - NTP over internet no better than ~ 1millisecond (ms)
- Research use of public telecom networks to transfer time
  - Optical fibers excellent for two-way time transfer
  - Public network fibers are unidirectional
- Need a method that is commercially viable
  - PTP is a new standard for time transfer
  - Format cannot improve accuracy requires access to physical signal





- Motivation
- Project plan
- Current results, October 2015
  - Transfer results using two transports
  - Check baseline then add traffic
  - Diagnostic efforts to determine cause of asymmetry
  - Long-term measurements
- Next steps





# History of Project

- CenturyLink provider agreed in principle to two-year experiment linking NIST Boulder and USNO AMC at Schriever AFB (Source of UTC from GPS)
- DHS issued RFI, December 2011
- One vendor, Symmetricom-Microsemi, gave a detailed plan
- Tri-lateral MOU written: DoC (NIST)-DHS-DoD (USNO)
  - Not yet signed
- Three-way Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) NIST with CenturyLink and Symmetricom-Microsemi signed in January 2013
- CRADA extended to January 2017





#### NIST-AMC Timing Experiment Microsemi PTP + CenturyLink Circuit

- Microsemi provides PTP timing signals over Gigabit Ethernet
- CenturyLink provides two different circuits to carry the timing signals
  - STS over SONET with varied bandwidths on an OC-192
  - OTN on an ODU-0, within an ODU-2 transport





#### Time Transfer Experiment

- Two-way time transfer using neighboring unidirectional fibers
  - No time-awareness anywhere in network
  - No routers in path
  - No real traffic, though traffic noise can be added
- Measurements at NIST and AMC against UTC(NIST) and UTC(USNO)





- Motivation
- Project plan
- Current results, October 2015
  - Transfer results using two transports
  - Check baseline then add traffic
  - Diagnostic efforts to determine cause of asymmetry
  - Long-term measurements
- Next steps





# PTP Over SONET/OTN

- April 2014 July 2014: studied SONET
- July 2014 present: studying OTN
  - Better performance
  - Better for studying asymmetry
- PDV measurements made in two directions
  - GM at USNO AMC and PTP probe at NIST
  - Forward means USNO AMC to NIST
  - Reverse means NIST to USNO AMC
- PTP over SONET vs. PTP over OTN
  - Asymmetry: Both show large asymmetry of 40 μs between forward and reverse directions
  - **Delay**: Both show ~2 ms delay over 150 km of fiber
  - Jitter: SONET: 200 ns; OTN: <4ns
  - Wander: SONET: Variations on order of 300 ns; OTN: Usually close to 0 ns, occasional excursions 10's of ns





#### PTP over SONET/OTN ~2 ms total delay, 40 μs asymmetry



#### **PTP over SONET/OTN** SONET: a few μs p-p; OTN: a few ns p-p





- Motivation
- Project plan
- Current results, October 2015
  - Transfer results using two transports
  - Check baseline then add traffic
  - Diagnostic efforts to determine cause of asymmetry
  - Long-term measurements
- Next steps





#### PTP Over OTN Time Transfer 40 days of data; Max deviation 26 ns two-way

#### Baseline: No traffic

#### OTN forward (blue) and reverse (red) packet delay







#### PTP Over OTN Time Transfer 42 days of data; Max deviation 10 ns two-way

#### With traffic

#### OTN forward (blue) and reverse (red) packet delay



Performance not affected by the addition of traffic





- Motivation
- Project plan
- Current results, October 2015
  - Transfer results using two transports
  - Check baseline then add traffic
  - Diagnostic efforts to determine cause of asymmetry
  - Long-term measurements
- Next steps





#### **Asymmetry Investigation**

#### Placed Microsemi PTP Equipment in CenturyLink Offices

- Placed two PTP+GPS devices, TP5000, same model as what is at NIST and USNO AMC now
- Placed TP5000s at Denver and Colorado Springs offices
- Allow for direct two-way time transfer in three sections
  - Between NIST, Boulder and Denver
  - Between Denver and Colorado Springs
  - Between Colorado Springs and USNO AMC, Schriever AFB
- Show time transfer capabilities
  - Currently, with calibration of constant offset, using OTN transport the data show we can maintain accuracies within 10's of nanoseconds
  - A 40 microsecond error would imply a 20 microsecond time transfer offset if uncalibrated



#### Results from "Asymmetry" Experiment

- Isolated sources of 40 microsecond asymmetry
  - Latency divided approximately equally between NIST-D, D-CS, CS-AMC
  - 75% of the asymmetry is accounted for by the Denver-Colorado Springs link

	AMC to NIST delay	NIST to AMC delay	Asymmetry
Direct circuit	2025 µs	2066 μs	40.5 μs
Circuit broken in Colorado Springs	2270 µs	2300 μs	30.2 µs
Circuit broken in Denver	2232 µs	2278 µs	46.5 µs

- Two important points
  - When circuits are rebuilt, latency and asymmetry change (see table above)
  - Asymmetry is static and can be calibrated out as long as the circuit stays up (several measurements of two to three months or more have shown this to be the case)





- Motivation
- Project plan
- Current results, October 2015
  - Transfer results using two transports
  - Check baseline then add traffic
  - Diagnostic efforts to determine cause of asymmetry
  - Long-term measurements
- Next steps





#### PTP fiber vs. GPS Carrier Phase

# PTP (blue) and GPS carrier-phase (red) measurements both comparing UTC(NIST) and UTC (USNO)



The two measurements generally match though the timestamp resolution of the PTP equipment does not have the precision to show the sub-nanosecond movement





#### PTP fiber vs. GPS Carrier Phase

PTP (blue) and GPS carrier-phase (red) measurements during a failure of timing distribution equipment at one of the UTC sites



The two measurements match well with the 180 ns excursion occurring over the 12-hour period of timing distribution equipment failure at one of the UTC sites. The PTP timestamp resolution can be seen in the 4 nanosecond quantization and 16 nanosecond steps.





#### Long-term PTP fiber measurement

# Two-way offset calculation on 68-day measurement shows 26 ns peak-to-peak over the entire run

Microsemi TimeMonitor Analyzer (file=OTN AMCtoNIST 2015 07 14--22 46 1ppm cumulative.twy) Phase deviation in units of time: Fs=15.80 mHz: Fo=10.000000 MHz: 2015/07/14 22:48:25 Two-Way Normalized OffsetIny Phase; Samples: 92503; Initial phase offset: -21.0520 usec; OTN AMC to NIS 18.0 nsec 3.00 nsec/div 0.0 -9.0067.76 0.000 7.00 days/div nsec days days

These results support the possibility that this method could provide time holdover below 100 ns indefinitely





#### Long-term PTP fiber measurement

#### MDEV calculation on 68-day PTP fiber measurement

Microsemi TimeMonitor Analyzer (file=OTN\_AMCtoNIST\_2015\_07\_14--22\_46\_1ppm\_cumulative.twy) MDEV; Fo=10.00 MHz; Fs=15.80 mHz; 2015/07/14 22:48:25 Two-Way Normalized Offset Phase; Samples: 92503; Initial phase offset: 21.0520 usec; OTN AMC to NIST 64Hz; MasterUUID: 00B0AE



The Modified Allan Deviation shows the capability of frequency transfer approaching 1 part in 10<sup>15</sup> at 10 days





- Motivation
- Project plan
- Current results, October 2015
  - Transfer results using two transports
  - Check baseline then add traffic
  - Diagnostic efforts to determine cause of asymmetry
  - Long-term measurements
- Next steps





#### Next Steps

- Looking to extend experiment to ultra-long range equipment in a network extending over 1000s of kilometers
- Results of experiment are to be published
- ATIS sync standards committee (COAST-SYNC) has a project for GPS backup
  - This experiment to show capabilities across one commercial carrier
  - Consider extending this experiment to other geographic areas or using other carriers





#### Thank You for Your Attention

Marc Weiss, <u>mweiss@nist.gov</u>, 303-497-3261 NIST Time and Frequency Division

Lee Cosart, <u>lee.cosart@microsemi.com</u>, 408-428-6950 Microsemi, Corp.



